IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3393 OF 2006

Navnath Bhikuji Salunkhe .. Applicant
Versus
State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Mr.U.R.Agandsurve for applicant
Ms.M.M.Deshmukh, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : 20th September 2006.

P.C.

. The applicant is arrested in connection with C.R.No.261 of 2005 registered with Faujadar Chawdi Police Station for offences punishable under section 302, 201, 364, 365, 368 and 120B of the I.P.C.

2. The applicant and other accused were arrested in connection with the crime. Learned Advocate for the applicant informs me that the

co-accused i.e. Mr.Balasaheb G. Tokdari and Basavraj @ Basu Gurusidhappa Loni are enlarged on bail by this Court vide orders dated 28th June 2006 and 28th April 2006.

3. Considering the role attributed to the present applicant and the fact that co-accused have been released on bail so also investigations being over and charge sheet having been filed, Applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. Hence, following order:-

i) The applicant arrested in connection with C.R.No.261 of 2005 registered with Fauzdar Chaudi Police Station shall be enlarged on bail in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

ii) The bail is granted subject to condition that the applicant will remain

present before the concerned investigating officer once in a week on every Monday till the conclusion of the trial.

iii) The order of bail will be given effect only after the applicant furnishes to the investigating officer the details about his place of residence and address where he proposes to stay after he is enlarged on bail. The applicant will not change his residential address thereafter till the conclusion of trial without prior intimation to the Investigating officer.

iv) The bail is granted subject to condition that the applicant shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement or threat to any prosecution witnesses and shall not in any manner

tamper with the prosecution evidence.

v) The applicant shall cooperate with the learned Trial Judge for expeditious disposal of the case. Any attempt by the applicant to delay the trial may be a ground for cancellation of bail.

vi) Any observation made in this order shall not be construed as any finding or any expression of opinion on the merits of the case at the time of trial.

vii) Application is disposed of in above terms.

viii) Parties to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J)